arXiv:1609.06507v1 [math.SP] 21 Sep 2016

Number of Eigenvalues of Non-selfadjoint Schrödinger Operators with Dilation Analytic Complex Potentials

Norihiro Someyama *

September 22, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we give Lieb-Thirring type inequalities for isolated eigenvalues of d-dimensional non-selfadjoint Schrödinger operators with complex-valued dilation analytic potentials. In order to derive them, we prove that isolated eigenvalues and their multiplicities are invariant under complex dilation.

Keywords: Schrödinger operator, Lieb-Thirring (type) inequality, complex potential, dilation analytic potential

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider Schrödinger operators on $\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$H := H_0 + V, \quad H_0 := -\Delta = -\sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$$

with complex potentials V which are dilation analytic (see Assumption 2.1 for definition), where the domain $\mathcal{D}(H_0)$ of H_0 is the second order Sobolev space $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We denote the real and the imaginary parts of $z \in \mathbb{C}$ by $\Re z$ and $\Im z$ respectively. We prove that the sum of moments of isolated eigenvalues of H in the half-plane can be estimated by the Lieb-Thirring type inequalities:

*Department of Mathematics, Gakushuin University, 1-5-1 Mejiro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8588, Japan / e-mail: philomatics[at sign]outlook.jp

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\sigma_{\rm d}(H)\cap[\mathbb{C}^{\pm}\cup(-\infty,0)]}|\lambda|^{\gamma}\le C_{\gamma,d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|V_{\pm\pi{\rm i}/4}(x)|^{\gamma+d/2}\,{\rm d}x$$

for $d,\gamma\ge 1$, where $\mathbb{C}^{\pm}:=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:\pm\Im z>0\}$ and $V_\theta(x):=V({\rm e}^{\theta}x)$. Here and hereafter, the formulas which contain $\pm$ represent two formulas, one for the upper sign and the other for the lower sign. The space of L^p-functions from the space X to the space Y will be denoted by $L^p(X;Y)$.

1.1 Lieb-Thirring Inequality for Real Potential

We recall the standard Lieb-Thirring inequality. We consider the self-adjoint Schrödinger operator

$$H=H_0+V$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined by the closure of the quadratic form

$$q_H(u):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla u(x)|^2\,{\rm d}x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}V(x)|u(x)|^2\,{\rm d}x,\quad u\in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

where $\nabla:=(\partial_{x_j})_{1\le j\le d}$ with the derivative ∂_{x_j} in the sense of distibutions. If $V\in L^{\gamma+d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\lambda_1\le\lambda_2\le\cdots\le\lambda_n\le\cdots<0 \tag{1}$$

are negative eigenvalues of H, *the standard Lieb-Thirring inequality*:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|\lambda_n|^{\gamma}\le L_{\gamma,d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}V_-(x)^{\gamma+d/2}\,{\rm d}x,\qquad V_{\pm}:=\max\{\pm V,0\} \tag{2}$$

is well-known(e.g., [3],[16],[17],[19],[20] and [21]), here $L_{\gamma,d}$ is the *sharp constant* and γ satisfies

$$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\gamma\ge\dfrac{1}{2}, & d=1\\ \gamma>0, & d=2\\ \gamma\ge 0, & d\ge 3\end{array}\right\}. \tag{3}$$

If $\gamma=0$, the left-hand side of (2) is the number of negative eigenvalues (1) of H.

For the constant $L_{\gamma,d}$, it is well-known that

$$L^{\rm cl}_{\gamma,d}\le L_{\gamma,d}$$

for all $\gamma \geq 0$ and $d \geq 1$, where $L^{\mathrm{cl}}_{\gamma,d}$ is the classical constant:

$$L^{\mathrm{cl}}_{\gamma,d} := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\xi| - 1)^{\gamma}_{-} \, \mathrm{d}\xi = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}{2^d \pi^{d/2} \Gamma(\gamma + d/2 + 1)}.$$

In fact, it is proven that

$$L_{\gamma,d} = L^{\mathrm{cl}}_{\gamma,d} \tag{4}$$

for all $d \geq 1$ if $\gamma \geq 3/2$ (cf. [21],[3],[17]), and

$$L_{\gamma,d} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} L^{\mathrm{cl}}_{\gamma,d} \tag{5}$$

for all $d, \gamma \geq 1$ ([9]). On the other hand, Helffer and Robert([13]) proved that $L^{\mathrm{cl}}_{\gamma,d} < L_{\gamma,d}$ if

$$\left.\begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma < \frac{3}{2}, & d = 1 \\ \gamma < 1, & d \geq 2 \end{array}\right\}.$$

Moreover, by virtue of the diamagnetic inequality, the standard Lieb-Thirring inequality is satisfied for magnetic Schrödinger operators with magnetic vector potentials $A(x) = (a_j(x))_{j=1}^d \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$H(A) := -(\nabla - \mathrm{i}A)^2 + V$$

where $\mathrm{i} := \sqrt{-1}$ (see [20], P.66).

For further information about $L_{\gamma,d}$ and $L^{\mathrm{cl}}_{\gamma,d}$, see Remarks of Theorem 12.4 of [19].

Lieb-Thirring inequality is the key ingredient in the proof of the stability of matter by Lieb and Thirring(e.g., see [20]), and is used for obtaining the efficient lower bound for the energies of fermions.

1.2 Lieb-Thirring Inequality for Complex Potential

The Lieb-Thirring inequality (2) has recently been extended to Schrödinger operators with complex potentials $V \in L^{\gamma+d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$ for $d, \gamma \geq 1$.

We suppose that V is a complex-valued potential which is H_0-compact. Then

$$H = H_0 + V, \quad \mathcal{D}(H) = \mathcal{D}(H_0)$$

is quasi-maximal accretive([14]), and the spectrum $\sigma(H)$ of H consists of the essential spectrum $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H) = [0, \infty)$ and the discrete spectrum $\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H)$ which consists of isolated eigenvalues of H of finite algebraic multiplicities:

$$m_\lambda := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\dim \ker[(H - \lambda)^k] \right).$$

This can be seen via analytic Fredholm theory([22]) applied to

$$(H-z)^{-1} = (H_0-z)^{-1}(1+V(H_0-z)^{-1})^{-1},$$

because

- $F(z) := V(H_0-z)^{-1}$ is an analytic function of $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0,\infty)$ with values in the space of compact operators, and because
- the inverse of $1-F(z)$ exists for z with sufficiently large $|\Im z|$.

Since H is non-selfadjoint, m_λ is in general different from the geometric multiplicity defined by

$$g_\lambda := \dim\{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : (H-\lambda)u = 0\},$$

and we count the number of eigenvalues according to their algebraic multiplicities.

Then, following estimates for the sum of moments of eigenvalues of H *outside the cone* $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Im z| < \kappa \Re z\}$ for *any positive* constant κ are proven:

Theorem 1.1 (Frank, Laptev, Lieb and Seiringer; [11])**.** *Let $d \geq 1$ and $\gamma \geq 1$. Suppose that $V \in L^{\gamma+d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C})$. Then, for any $\kappa > 0$,*

$$\sum_{|\Im\lambda| \geq \kappa \Re\lambda} |\lambda|^\gamma \leq C_{\gamma,d}\left(1+\frac{2}{\kappa}\right)^{\gamma+d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V(x)|^{\gamma+d/2}\,\mathrm{d}x \tag{6}$$

where

$$C_{\gamma,d} := 2^{1+\gamma/2+d/4} L_{\gamma,d} \tag{7}$$

and $L_{\gamma,d}$ is the constant of (2). In particular,

$$\sum_{\Re\lambda \leq 0} |\lambda|^\gamma \leq C_{\gamma,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V(x)|^{\gamma+d/2}\,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{8}$$

Frank et al.([11]) conjecture that (6) and (8) in Theorem 1.1 hold for γ satisfying (3). On the other hand, observing that (2) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{dist}(\lambda_n;[0,\infty))^{\gamma+d/2}}{|\lambda_n|^{d/2}} \leq L_{\gamma,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V_-(x)^{\gamma+d/2}\,\mathrm{d}x \tag{9}$$

where $\mathrm{dist}(x;\Omega)$ is a distance from a point x to the domain Ω and $\{\lambda_n\}$ are negative eigenvalues of H given by (1), Demuth, Hansmann and Katriel([6]) proposed to study the estimate:

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H)} \frac{\mathrm{dist}(\lambda;[0,\infty))^{\gamma+d/2}}{|\lambda|^{d/2}} \leq C_{\gamma,d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V(x)|^{\gamma+d/2}\,\mathrm{d}x \tag{10}$$

for the constant $C_{\gamma,d}$ independent of $V \in L^{\gamma+d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C})$ and for γ and d satisfying (3). They([8]) actually proved by applying (6) that for any $\gamma \geq 1$ and $0 < \tau < 1$,

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H)} \frac{\mathrm{dist}(\lambda;[0,\infty))^{\gamma+d/2+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{d/2+\tau}} \leq C_{\gamma,d,\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V(x)|^{\gamma+d/2}\,\mathrm{d}x \tag{11}$$

with constant

$$C_{\gamma,d,\tau} = (\text{const.})\cdot\frac{1}{\tau}.$$

Note that this constant *blows up* as $\tau \downarrow 0$ (see also [7] where a similar estimate is obtained).

Related to this problem, Frank and Sabin([12]) proved that if $d \geq 1$ and $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} p = 1, & d = 1, \\ 1 < p \leq \dfrac{3}{2}, & d = 2, \\ \dfrac{d}{2} < p \leq \dfrac{d+1}{2}, & d \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

then

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H)} \frac{\mathrm{dist}(\lambda;[0,\infty))}{|\lambda|^{(1-\varepsilon)/2}} \leq C_{d,p,\varepsilon}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V(x)|^p\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{(1+\varepsilon)/(2p-d)}$$

where ε is the non-negative number fulfilling the followings:

$$\left.\begin{array}{ll} \varepsilon > 1, & d = 1 \\ \varepsilon \geq 0, & d \geq 2 \text{ and } \dfrac{d}{2} \leq p \leq \dfrac{d^2}{2d-1} \\ \varepsilon > \dfrac{(2d-1)p - d^2}{d-p}, & d \geq 2 \text{ and } \dfrac{d^2}{2d-1} \leq p \leq \dfrac{d+1}{2} \end{array}\right\}.$$

We mention that Cuenin, Laptev, Safronov etc. studied the eigenvalues of H which are close to $[0,\infty)$. For example, they proved([20]) that if $\Re V \geq 0$ is bounded and $\Im V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\left.\begin{array}{ll} p \geq 1, & d = 1 \\ p > \dfrac{d}{2}, & d \geq 2 \end{array}\right\},$$

then one has

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H)} \left(\frac{\Im \lambda}{|\lambda + 1|^2 + 1} \right)_+^p \leq C(d,p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Im V)_+(x)^p \, \mathrm{d}x$$

where

$$C(d,p) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{(|\xi|^2+1)^p}.$$

2 Assumption and Main Results

We write $(\cdot,\cdot)$ for the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$-inner product and $\|\cdot\|$ for the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm:

$$(f,g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\overline{g(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x, \qquad \|f\| := (f,f)^{1/2}.$$

2.1 Dilation Analytic Method for Complex Potential

We consider the 1-parameter unitary group $U(\theta)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined by

$$U(\theta)u(x) := \mathrm{e}^{d\theta/2} u(\mathrm{e}^\theta x), \quad u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d). \tag{12}$$

We now suppose that V fulfills the following assumption. Recall that $\mathcal{D}(T)$ is the domain of operator T.

Assumption 2.1. Let $d, \gamma \geq 1$ and $\alpha > 0$.

a) V is the multiplication operator with complex-valued measurable function satisfying $V \in L^{\gamma + d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$.

b) V is H_0-compact, that is, $\mathcal{D}(V) \supset \mathcal{D}(H_0) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $V(H_0+1)^{-1}$ is compact.

c) The function $V_\theta(x) := V(\mathrm{e}^\theta x)$ originally defined for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ has an analytic continuation into the complex strip

$$\mathscr{S}_\alpha := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Im z| < \alpha \}$$

as an $L^{\gamma+d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$-valued function.

d) $V_\theta(H_0 - z)^{-1}$ originally defined for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ can be extended to $\mathscr{S}_\alpha$ as a $\mathbf{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$-valued analytic function.

We remark that b) and d) are results of a) and c) respectively unless $d = 1$ and $1 \leq \gamma < 3/2$ or $d = 2$ and $\gamma = 1$.

In what follows, we fix $d, \gamma \geq 1$. We call V fulfilling Assumption 2.1 *the dilation analytic complex potential on* $\mathscr{S}_\alpha$ (Hereafter we say '*V is dilation analytic on* $\mathscr{S}_\alpha$' for simplicity). We define

$$\begin{cases} H_0(\theta) := \mathrm{e}^{-2\theta} H_0, \\ H(\theta) := U(\theta) H U(\theta)^{-1} = H_0(\theta) + V_\theta = \mathrm{e}^{-2\theta} \left(H_0 + \mathrm{e}^{2\theta} V_\theta \right) \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

for $\theta \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$. It is obvious that $H_0(\theta)$ and $H(\theta)$ are operator-valued holomorphic functions of type (A) of $\theta \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$ in the sense of Kato ([14]). Moreover

$$H(\theta + \theta') = U(\theta') H(\theta) U(\theta')^{-1}; \quad \theta \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha,\ \theta' \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{14}$$

since this is true for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and both side of (14) are $\mathbf{B}(H^2(\mathbb{R}^d); L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$-valued analytic functions of $\theta \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$. In particular, $\sigma(H(\theta))$ is independent of $\Re\theta$.

The following result for real-valued potential is well-known. We remark that the same result is satisfied for complex-valued potential.

Proposition 2.2. *If V is dilation analytic on $\mathscr{S}_\alpha$, one has*

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H(\theta)) = \mathrm{e}^{-2\theta}[0, \infty) = \{\mathrm{e}^{-2\theta} x : x \in [0, \infty)\} \tag{15}$$

for any $\theta \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$.

Proof. Define for fixed $\theta \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$ that

$$\tilde{H}(\theta) := H_0 + \mathrm{e}^{2\theta} V_\theta = \mathrm{e}^{2\theta} H(\theta). \tag{16}$$

That $\sigma(\tilde{H}(\theta)) \setminus [0, \infty)$ consists of isolated eigenvalues of finite multliplicities may be proved as previously by the argument using analytic Fredholm theory for $F(z) = -\mathrm{e}^{2\theta} V_\theta (H_0 - z)^{-1}$. In particular, $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(\tilde{H}(\theta)) \subset [0, \infty)$. We show that $[0, \infty) \subset \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(\tilde{H}(\theta))$. Suppose that there is an open interval $(a, b) \subset [0, \infty)$ such that $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(\tilde{H}(\theta))$. Then, $\sigma(H_0) \cap (a, b)$ must be a discrete set by virtue of the argument above where the roles of $\tilde{H}(\theta)$ and H_0 are replaced. This is of course impossible and $[0, \infty) \subset \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(\tilde{H}(\theta))$. Therefore,

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(\tilde{H}(\theta)) = \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H_0) = [0, \infty), \tag{17}$$

and

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H(\theta)) = \mathrm{e}^{-2\theta} \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(\tilde{H}(\theta)) = \mathrm{e}^{-2\theta}[0, \infty)$$

by (16) and (17). □

2.2 Estimates on Eigenvalues in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$

The main result in this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose V satisfies Assumption 2.1 for some $\alpha > \pi/4$. Let $C_{\gamma,d}$ be the constant given by (7). Then, we have*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H) \cap [\mathbb{C}^{\pm} \cup (-\infty, 0)]} |\lambda|^{\gamma} \leq C_{\gamma,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V_{\pm \pi \mathrm{i}/4}(x)|^{\gamma + d/2} \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{18}$$

We believe that in general the integrals of the right-hand side of (18) are bigger than $\int |V(x)|^{\gamma+d/2} \, \mathrm{d}x$.

Example. We consider a 1-dimensional complex potential

$$V(x) = \frac{c}{(1+x^2)^s}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{19}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $1/2 < s < 1$. It is easy to see that V satisfies Assumption 2.1 for any $\alpha > \pi/4$. Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |V_{\pi \mathrm{i}/4}(x)|^{3s/2} \, \mathrm{d}x &= |c|^{3/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{1 - \sqrt{2}x^2 + x^4}^{3s/2}} \\ &\geq |c|^{3/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{(1+x^2)^{3s/2}} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |V(x)|^{3/2} \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{aligned}$$

as expected. If $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ is a complex-valued potential like (19), that is, if V satisfies that

$$|V(x)| \leq \frac{\text{const.}}{(1+|x|^2)^s}, \quad \frac{1}{2} < s < 1,$$

it is known([24]) that all non-real eigenvalues of $H = -\Delta + V$ are in a disc of a finite radius.

Corollary 2.4. *Suppose that V satisfies the assumption of Theorem 2.3. Then,*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H)} |\lambda|^{\gamma} \leq C_{\gamma,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|V_{\pi \mathrm{i}/4}(x)|^{\gamma + d/2} + |V_{-\pi \mathrm{i}/4}(x)|^{\gamma + d/2} \right) \mathrm{d}x$$

where $C_{\gamma,d}$ is the constant of (7).

Corollary 2.5. *We denote the number of the isolated eigenvalues of H in $\mathbb{C}$ by $N(H;\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that V satisfies the assumption of Theorem 2.3. Then, we have*

$$N(H;\mathbb{C}) \leq \tilde{C}_{\gamma,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|V_{\pi \mathrm{i}/4}(x)|^{\gamma+d/2} + |V_{-\pi \mathrm{i}/4}(x)|^{\gamma+d/2} \right) \mathrm{d}x$$

where $\tilde{C}_{\gamma,d} := C_{\gamma,d} / \inf_{\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H)} |\lambda|^{\gamma}$.

Proof. The statement follows immediately from Theorem 2.3, since

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H)} |\lambda|^{\gamma} \geq \left(\inf_{\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H)} |\lambda|^{\gamma} \right) \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H)} 1.$$

□

3 Proof of Theorem 2.3

The estimate (8) of Theorem 1.1 plays an important role in the proof of the main theorem. We begin with the following lemma. Recall that $\sigma(H(\theta))$ is discrete in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H(\theta))$ and all $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H(\theta))$ have finite algebraic multiplicities.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$ and $\theta \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha \cap \mathbb{C}^{\pm}$. Then, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\pm}$, $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H)$ if and only if $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H(\theta))$.*

Proof. We prove only the case $\theta \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$. The other case may be proved simliarly. Suppose that $\lambda(\theta) \in \mathbb{C}^+$ is an eigenvalue of $H(\theta)$ for $\theta \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha \cap \mathbb{C}^+$. We show that if λ is an eigenvalue of $H(\theta_0)$ for $\theta_0 \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ then λ is an eigenvalue of $H(\theta)$ for all $\theta \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha \cap \mathbb{C}^+$. To see this, we recall that $\{H(\theta)\}_{\theta \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha \cap \mathbb{C}^+}$ is an analytic family of type (A) in the sense of Kato. Then, Theorem 1.8 of chapter VII §1.3 (or chapter II §1) of [14] implies that those $\lambda(\theta) \in \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H(\theta))$ such that $\lambda(\theta) \to \lambda$ as $\theta \to \theta_0$ are given by the branches of one or several analytic functions as Puiseux series. Moreover, as remarked above, $\lambda(\theta)$ is independent of $\Re\theta$. It follows that $\lambda(\theta) = \lambda$ for all θ near θ_0, and

$$\lambda(\theta) = \lambda, \quad \theta \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha \cap \mathbb{C}^+.$$

□

The following fact is also important.

Lemma 3.2. *Let V be dilation analytic on $\mathscr{S}_\alpha$ with $\alpha > \pi/4$. Suppose that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\pm$ is an eigenvalue of H and $\theta \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha \cap \mathbb{C}^\pm$. Then, the algebraic multiplicity of λ as eigenvalue of $H(\theta)$ coincides with that of λ as eigenvalue of H.*

Proof. We define two Riesz projection onto the generalized eigenspace:

$$P_\lambda := \frac{-1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \oint_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} (H - z)^{-1} \, \mathrm{d}z, \qquad P_\lambda(\theta) := \frac{-1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \oint_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} (H(\theta) - z)^{-1} \, \mathrm{d}z \tag{20}$$

for $\theta \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha \cap \mathbb{C}^\pm$, where Γ_ε is the contour around λ defined by

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - \lambda| = \varepsilon\} \tag{21}$$

with sufficient small $\varepsilon > 0$ such that Γ_ε does not enclose any other point in $\sigma(H)$ or $\sigma(H(\theta))$ except λ. Here, notice that P_λ is not necessary an orthogonal projection, since H is non-selfadjoint. It is well-known that $P_\lambda, P_\lambda(\theta)$ are projections and

$$P_\lambda^2 = P_\lambda, \qquad P_\lambda(\theta)^2 = P_\lambda(\theta)$$

onto the respective generalized eigenspaces of H and $H(\theta)$ associated with the eigenvalue λ. It follows that

$$m_\lambda = \operatorname{rank} P_\lambda, \qquad m_{\lambda(\theta)} = \operatorname{rank} P_\lambda(\theta).$$

We denote the orthogonal projection onto $M_\lambda(\theta) := P_\lambda(\theta) L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by $P'_\lambda(\theta)$. In order to prove this lemma, we show that the dimension of $M_\lambda(\theta)$ is independent of θ. $P_\lambda(\theta)$ is analytic with respect to θ. It is known that

$$\|P'_\lambda(\theta) - P'_\lambda(\sigma)\| \leq \|P_\lambda(\theta) - P_\lambda(\sigma)\|$$

for any $\theta, \sigma \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$ (see Theorem 6.35 in Chapter I §6.8 of [14]). Since $P_\lambda(\theta) \to P_\lambda(\sigma)$ as $\theta \to \sigma$ in norm by the analyticity of $P_\lambda(\theta)$ (see (20)),

$$\|P'_\lambda(\theta) - P'_\lambda(\sigma)\| < 1.$$

Thus, $P'_\lambda(\theta)$ and $P'_\lambda(\sigma)$ are unitarily equivalent by Theorem 6.32 in Chapter I §6.8 of [14]. Hence

$$\dim M_\lambda(\theta) = \dim M_\lambda(\sigma),$$

and the proof of this lemma is finished by putting $\sigma = 0$. □

We denote $\mathbb{R}_\pm := \{\pm x : x > 0\}$ and $\mathrm{i}\,\mathbb{R}^\pm := \{\mathrm{i}\,x : x \in \mathbb{R}_\pm\}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. For eigenvalues $\tilde{\lambda}(\theta)$ of $\tilde{H}(\theta) = \mathrm{e}^{2\theta}H(\theta)$, we write

$$\lambda(\theta) = \mathrm{e}^{-2\theta}\tilde{\lambda}(\theta) \tag{22}$$

for the corresponding eigenvalue of $H(\theta)$, $\theta \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha$.

We first set $\theta = \pi\mathrm{i}/4$. Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and (22) imply that

$$\mathrm{e}^{\pi\mathrm{i}/2}\left[\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H) \cap \left(\mathbb{C}^+ \cup \mathbb{R}_-\right)\right] = \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(\tilde{H}(\pi\mathrm{i}/4)) \cap [\{\Re z < 0\} \cup \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}_-] \tag{23}$$

including their multiplicities. We next set $\theta = -\pi\mathrm{i}/4$. We likewise have

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\mathrm{i}/2}\left[\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H) \cap \left(\mathbb{C}^- \cup \mathbb{R}_-\right)\right] = \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(\tilde{H}(-\pi\mathrm{i}/4)) \cap [\{\Re z < 0\} \cup \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}_+] \tag{24}$$

including their multiplicities. We write $\mathfrak{S}_\mp(\tilde{H}(\pm\pi\mathrm{i}/4))$ for the right-hand side of (23) and (24) respectively, and apply the estimate (8) of Theorem 2.3 to $\tilde{H}(\pm\pi\mathrm{i}/4)) = H_0 \pm \mathrm{i}\,V(\mathrm{e}^{\pi\mathrm{i}/2}\cdot)$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H) \cap (\mathbb{C}^\pm \cup \mathbb{R}_-)} |\lambda|^\gamma &= \sum_{\tilde{\lambda}(\pm\pi\mathrm{i}/4) \in \mathfrak{S}_\mp(\tilde{H}(\pm\pi\mathrm{i}/4))} |\tilde{\lambda}(\pm\pi\mathrm{i}/4)|^\gamma \\ &\leq C_{\gamma,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V_{\pm\pi\mathrm{i}/4}(x)|^{\gamma+d/2}\,\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}$$

Since $m_{\tilde{\lambda}(\pi\mathrm{i}/4)} = m_{\tilde{\lambda}(-\pi\mathrm{i}/4)} = m_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H) \cap (\mathbb{C}^\pm \cup \mathbb{R}_-)$ by virtue of Lemma 3.2, this completes the proof. □

Acknowledgement

I heartily thank professor Kenji Yajima for advice and instruction.

References

[1] A.A.Abramov, A.Aslanyan, and E.B.Davies, *Bounds on Complex Eigenvalues and Resonances*, Journal of Physics A **34**(2001), No.1, 57-72

[2] J.Aguilar and J.M.Combes, *A Class of Analytic Perturbations for One-body Schrödinger Hamiltonians*, Commu.Math.Phys. 22(1971), 269-279

[3] M.Aizenman and E.H.Lieb, *On semi-classical bounds for eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators*, Physics Letters Vol.66A, No.6(1978), 427-429

[4] J.-C.Cuenin, A.Laptev, and C.Tretter, *Eigenvalue Estimates for Non-Selfadjoint Dirac Operators on the Real Line*, Ann. Henri Poincaré 15(2014), 707-736

[5] H.L.Cycon, R.G.Froese, W.Kirsch, and B.Simon, *Schrödinger Operators with Applications to Quantum Mechanics and Global Geometry*, Springer(2008)

[6] M.Demuth, M.Hansmann, and G.Katriel, *Lieb-Thirring Type Inequalities for Schödinger Operators with a Complex-Valued Potential*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory 75(2013), 1-5

[7] M.Demuth, M.Hansmann, and G.Katriel, *Eigenvalues of Non-selfadjoint Operators: A Comparison of Two Approaches*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol.232(2013), 107-163

[8] M.Demuth, M.Hansmann, and G.Katriel, *On the Discrete Spectrum of Non-selfadjoint Operators*, Journal of Functional Analysis 257(2009), 2742-2759

[9] J.Dolbeault, A.Laptev, and M.Loss, *Lieb-Thirring inequalities with improved constants*, J.Eur.Math.Soc. 10(2008), 1121-1126

[10] R.L.Frank, *Eigenvalue Bounds for Schrödinger Operators with Complex Potentials*, Bull.London Math.Soc. 43(2011), 745-750

[11] R.L.Frank, A.Laptev, E.H.Lieb, and R.Seiringer, *Lieb-Thirring Inequalities for Schrödinger Operators with Complex-valued Potentials*, Letters in Mathematical Physics 77(2006), 309-316

[12] R.L.Frank and J.Sabin, *Restriction Theorems for Orthonormal Functions, Strichartz Inequalities, and Uniform Sobolev Estimates*, arXiv:1404.2817v3[math-ph], 27 May 2014

[13] B.Helffer and D.Robert, *Riesz means of bounded states and semi-classical limit connected with a Lieb-Thirring conjecture II*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. 53, No.2(1990), 139-147

[14] T.Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer(1976)

[15] A.Laptev and O.Safronov, *Eigenvalue Estimates for Schrödinger Operators with Complex Potentials*, Commun. Math. Phys. 292(2009), 29-54

[16] A.Laptev and T.Weidl, *Recent Results on Lieb-Thirring inequalities*, Journées "Équations aux Dérivées Partielles"(La Chapelle sur Erdre, 2000), Exp.No.XX,14 pp., Univ. Nantes, Nantes, 2000

[17] A.Laptev and T.Weidl, *Sharp Lieb-Thirring inequalities in high dimensions*, Acta Math., 184(2000), 87-111

[18] E.H.Lieb, *Lieb-Thirring Inequalities*, arXiv:math-ph/0003039v1, 27 Mar. 2000

[19] E.H.Lieb, and M.Loss, *Analysis(Second Edition)*, American Mathematical Society(2010)

[20] E.H.Lieb and R.Seiringer, *The Stability of Matter in Quantum Mechanics*, Cambridge University Press(2010)

[21] E.H.Lieb and W.Thirring, *Inequalities for the Moments of the Eigenvalues of the Schrödinger Hamiltonian and Their Relation to Sobolev inequalities*, With W.Thirring in Studies in Mathematical Physics, E.Lieb, B.Simon, A.Wightman eds. *Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg(2002)

[22] M.Reed and B.Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics I: Functional Analysis*, Academic Press(1980)

[23] M.Reed and B.Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics IV: Analysis of Operators*, Academic Press(1978)

[24] O.Safronov, *Estimates for eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator with a complex potential*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 42(2010), 452-456

[25] P.Šeba, *The Complex Scaling Method for Dirac Resonances*, Letters in Mathematical Physics **16**(1988), 51-59

[26] K.Yajima, *Schrödinger Houteishiki I*, Asakura-suugaku-taikei 5, Asakura-shoten(2014) [Japanese]